



SDI Review Form 1.6

Journal Name:	British Journal of Applied Science & Technology
Manuscript Number:	2014_BJAST_10500
Title of the Manuscript:	THE EFFECT OF FLOW-DISTANCE OF INDUSTRIAL WASTEWATERS ON CORRELATION BETWEEN CHEMICAL AND BIOCHEMICAL OXYGEN DEMANDS
Type of the Article	Original Research Article

General guideline for Peer Review process:

This journal's peer review policy states that **NO** manuscript should be rejected only on the basis of '**lack of Novelty**', provided the manuscript is scientifically robust and technically sound.

To know the complete guideline for Peer Review process, reviewers are requested to visit this link:

(<http://www.sciencedomain.org/page.php?id=sdi-general-editorial-policy#Peer-Review-Guideline>)



SDI Review Form 1.6

PART 1: Review Comments

	Reviewer's comment	Author's comment (if agreed with reviewer, correct the manuscript and highlight that part in the manuscript. It is mandatory that authors should write his/her feedback here)
Compulsory REVISION comments	Study area map showing the location of various industries, sampling points and point sources should be included. Normally we calculate BOD5/COD ratio, while the authors calculated COD/BOD5 ratio. Please change to BOD5/COD ratio. Improve the visibility of the figures.	Study area map showing the location of various industries, sampling points and point sources has been included as Figure 1. Some literature sources report biodegradability from the ratio BOD5/COD as follows: > 0.5 than easily biodegradable 0.4 – 0.5 average biodegradable 0.2 – 0.4 slowly biodegradable < 0.2 not biodegradable While some also use the ratio COD/BOD5 as follows: COD/BOD5<2 – easily biodegradable COD/BOD5 >2 – not easily biodegradable It is not wrong to use COD/BOD5 References: 1.http://www.researchgate.net/post/How to correlateCOD_BOD_ratio_and_biodegradability 2.http://www.caes.uga.edu/publications/pubDetail.cfm?pk_id=7895 (For: The University of Georgia College of Agricultural and Environmental Sciences © 2005–2009. All Rights Reserved. Page updated on Monday, April 21, 2014) The figures have been resized to enhance their visibility.
Minor REVISION comments		



SDI Review Form 1.6

<u>Optional/General</u> comments		
---	--	--